

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/750,706 | 01/02/2004 | Gary T. Roe | 4017M | 3804 |
| 7590 01/06/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| S. Michael Bender | | | KING, ANITA M | |
| P.O. Box 530399 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| St. Petersburg, | FL 33747 | | ARTONII | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3632 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/750,706 | ROE ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Anita M. King | 3632 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any earn | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ourse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing leed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | | | | | | |
| ′ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | , | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10)[| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| - | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for application number 10/750,706, Portable Beverage Holder, filed on January 2, 2004.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the claim terminology "a lower extent" and "an upper extent" now included in claim 1 is not cited in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informality: in line 3, "clamp" should be changed to --clamping--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 has ambiguous claim terminology; it is unclear if "a top hanger portion," in line 4 of the claim is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "a linear portion," in line 6 of claim 1.

Claim 7 has ambiguous claim terminology where it is unclear whether latter recitations of originally cited terminology are intended to refer to the originally cited

Art Unit: 3632

terms. It is unclear if "hanger, free-end portions," in line 3, of claim 7 is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "free end portions" bridging lines 5 and 6 of claim 1.

Claim 9 has ambiguous claim terminology; it is unclear if "a top hanger portion," in line 8 of the claim is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "a linear portion," in line 5 of the claim.

Claim 9 has ambiguous claim terminology where it is unclear whether latter recitations of originally cited terminology are intended to refer to the originally cited terms. It is unclear if "hanger, free-end portions," in line 13 of the claim is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "free end portions" line 5 of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 3,734,439 to Wintz in view of U.S. Patent 2;926,879 to Dietrich. Wintz discloses a portable beverage holder apparatus, comprising: clamping means (27); a hanger member (20); clamp-to-hanger hinge means (32, 34) connected between the clamping means and the hanger member having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced, free end portions and an upper extent; a cup unit (13); hanger-to-cup hinge means (@18) connected between the hanger member and the cup unit; wherein

Art Unit: 3632

the clamp-to-hanger hinge means include a clamp-to-hanger hinge assembly; wherein the clamp-to-hanger hinge assembly a top hanger reception channel (34) in the clamping means, and a top hanger portion (32) in the hanger member, wherein the top hanger portion is received in the top hanger reception channel; and wherein the hanger-to-cup hinge means include a hanger-to-cup hinge assembly.

Wintz discloses the claimed invention except for the limitations of the hanger member having a lower extent and the upper extent having a linear portion parallel with the free ends portions. Dietrich teaches a portable beverage holder apparatus including a hanger member (12) having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced, free end portions (40) and an upper extent with a linear portion (@13) parallel with the free end portions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hanger member in Wintz to have included the hanger member as taught by Dietrich for the purpose of providing an aesthetically different, mechanically equivalent, hanger member for supporting the cup unit on the clamping means.

Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wintz in view of U.S. Patent 3,840,204 to Thomas et al., hereinafter, Thomas. Wintz discloses the limitations of claims 1, 5, and 6 as cited in the previous paragraph. Wintz discloses the claimed invention except for the limitations of hanger-to-cup hinge assembly having hanger, free-end portions received in the hanger-reception channels and washers. Thomas teaches a portable holder apparatus including a hanger member (12) having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced, free end portions (17)

Art Unit: 3632

and an upper extent, a cup unit (38'), a hanger-to-cup hinge means including a hanger-to-cup hinge assembly, hanger-reception channels (40) in the cup unit, wherein the hanger, free-end portions are received in the hanger-reception channels, and outside washers (Fig. 1) between the outside portion of the hanger, free-end portions and the cup unit; and inside washers (19) between inside portions of the hanger, free-end portions and the cup unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus in Wintz to have included the hanger member and cup unit as taught by Thomas for the purpose of providing an alternative cup unit that will contain any condensation formed on the beverage container to prevent the moisture from dripping on a given surface.

Wintz combined with Thomas disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the upper extent having a linear portion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hanger member in Wintz combined with Thomas to have included a linear portion for the purpose of providing an different aesthetic appearance to the hanger member and since such a modification would not have produced any unexpected results.

Claim 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wintz combined with Dietrich and in further view of U.S. Patent 4,887,784 to Kayali. Wintz combined with Dietrich disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the clamping means including a spring clamp assembly. Kayali teaches a portable beverage holder apparatus including a clamping means (300), a hanger member (215), clamp-to-hanger hinge means (235) connected to the clamping means and hanger

Art Unit: 3632

member, a cup unit (100), hanger-to-cup hinge means (225), and wherein the clamping means includes a spring clamp assembly having grasping portions (310), clamping portions (33), and a spring (not shown) for urging the clamping portions towards each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the clamping means in Wintz combined with Dietrich to have included the clamping means as taught by Kayali for the purpose of alternative means for attaching the portable beverage apparatus to a supporting surface.

Claims 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wintz combined with Kayali and in further view of Thomas. Wintz discloses a portable beverage holder apparatus, comprising a clamping means; a hanger member having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced, free end portions and an upper extent; clamp-to-hanger hinge means including a hinge assembly connected between the clamping means and the hanger member, the clamp-to-hanger assembly having a top hanger reception channel in the clamping means, a top hanger portion in the hanger member, wherein the top hanger portion is received in the top hanger reception channel; a cup unit; and a hanger-to-cup hinge means connected between the hanger member and the cup unit, the hanger-to-cup hinge means including a hanger-to-cup hinge assembly.

Wintz discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of the clamping means having a spring clamp assembly. Kayali teaches a portable beverage holder apparatus including a clamping means (300), a hanger member (215), clamp-to-hanger

Art Unit: 3632

hinge means (235) connected to the clamping means and hanger member, a cup unit (100), hanger-to-cup hinge means (225), and wherein the clamping means includes a spring clamp assembly having grasping portions (310), clamping portions (33), and a spring (not shown) for urging the clamping portions towards each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the clamping means in Wintz to have included the clamping means as taught by Kayali for the purpose of alternative means for attaching the portable beverage apparatus to a supporting surface.

Wintz combined with Kayali disclose the claimed invention except for the limitations of hanger-reception channels in the cup unit, the hanger free-end portions received in the channels, and inside and outside washers. Thomas teaches a portable holder apparatus including a hanger member (12) having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced, free end portions (17) and an upper extent, a cup unit (38'), a hanger-to-cup hinge means including a hanger-to-cup hinge assembly, hangerreception channels (40) in the cup unit, wherein the hanger, free-end portions are received in the hanger-reception channels, and outside washers (Fig. 1) between the outside portion of the hanger, free-end portions and the cup unit; and inside washers (19) between inside portions of the hanger, free-end portions and the cup unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus in Wintz combined with Kayali to have included the hanger member and cup unit as taught by Thomas for the purpose of providing an

Application/Control Num

Art Unit: 3632

alternative cup unit that will contain any condensation formed on the beverage container to prevent the moisture from dripping on a given surface.

Wintz combined with Kayali and Thomas disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the upper extent having a linear portion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hanger member in Thomas to have included a linear portion for the purpose of providing an different aesthetic appearance to the hanger member and since such a modification would not have produced any unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 3,619,852 to Eckberg
- U.S. Patent D236,175 to Wintz
- U.S. Patent 5,402,910 to Pilney
- U.S. Patent 5,445,425 to Lyver
- U.S. Patent 6,321,932 to Butters, III

Art Unit: 3632

Eckberg discloses a bail having a lower extent with axially aligned, laterally spaced free end portions and an upper extent having a linear portion parallel with the free ends.

Wintz discloses a receptacle for drink holders. Pilney discloses a container having a wire hanger member with a linear portion and free end portions. Lyver discloses a handle having a upper extent with a linear portion and a lower extent with free ends for receivable within reception channels in bracket attached to a bucket. Butters, III discloses a handle having an upper extent with a linear portion and a lower extent having laterally spaced apart free end portions, which extend parallel to the linear portion.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. King ()
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

January 4, 2005